## SLA Course Data by Semester

(Spring 2010) - By Department

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# <br> Enrolled in Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F\% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng ACT Avg. | Math ACT Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rdg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010S | ACCT 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA | 001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 41 | 38 | 20 | 53\% | 49\% | 3 | 7\% | 6 | 15\% |  | 2.97 | 18.8 | 19.4 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 19.6 |
| Control |  |  | 002 | 40 | 36 | 33 | 92\% | 83\% | 3 | 8\% | 1 | 3\% | -39\% | 3.14 | 21.7 | 22.3 | 23.1 | 22.5 | 22.2 |
|  | 003004005006007008009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-SLA Dept. Avg. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 281 | 252 | 201 | 80\% | 72\% | 29 | 10\% | 20 | 7\% | -27\% | 3.11 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.5 | 20.8 |
| Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control |  |  |  | 241 | 216 | 168 | 78\% | 70\% | 26 | 11\% | 19 | 8\% |  | 3.11 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 21.0 |

The SLA section had a $53 \%$ pass rate which was $39 \%$ lower than the control section and $27 \%$ lower than the non-SLA sections. The SLA section had $7 \%$ withdrawals and $15 \%$ failures while the control group had $8 \%$ withdrawals and $3 \%$ failures. The non-SLA sections had $10 \%$ withdrawals and $7 \%$ failures. The SLA sections had a pass rate of $84 \%$ in spring 09 and the pass rate for the control group and non-SLA sections were $75 \%$ and $85 \%$.

Note: The instructor of the SLA section reported that 10 students missed class regularly or stopped coming after the first two weeks and did not withdraw.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# | Total \# Enrolled in Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students <br> who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F \% | Diff. +/- \% <br> from Total <br> Graded <br> Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng <br> ACT <br> Avg. | Math ACT Avg. | Rdg ACT Avg. | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | ACCT 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 34 | 33 | 26 | 79\% | 76\% | 1 | 3\% | 4 | 12\% |  | 3.03 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 22.3 | 20.7 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 001002005006007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-SLA Dept. Avg. |  |  | 189 | 175 | 144 | 82\% | 76\% | 13 | 7\% | 7 | 4\% | -3\% | 3.17 | 20.8 | 21.8 | 22.0 | 22.6 | 21.7 |
| Non-SLA D | t. Avg. | ontrol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The pass rate for the SLA section was $79 \%$ which was $3 \%$ lower than the non-SLA sections. There were no failures in the SLA section in Spring 09 and $12 \%$ failures in Spring 10. The SLA section had $3 \%$ withdrawals while the non-SLA sections had $7 \%$ withdrawals and $4 \%$ failures. The pass rate for the SLA section in Spring 09 was $15 \%$ higher than in Spring 10.

Note that the SLA sections had lower HSGPA's and ACT scores.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# <br> Enrolled <br> Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students <br> who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F\% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS } \\ & \text { GPA } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eng } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Math ACT Avg. | Rdg ACT Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sci } \\ & \text { Rsg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | BIOL 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 24 | 23 | 15 | 65\% | 63\% | 1 | 4\% | 5 | 21\% |  | 3.20 | 19.8 | 21.5 | 20.8 | 21.8 | 20.9 |
| Control |  |  | 211 | 26 | 24 | 18 | 75\% | 69\% | 1 | 4\% | 2 | 8\% | -10\% | 3.39 | 22.4 | 22.1 | 23.7 | 23.1 | 22.7 |

Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

The SLA section had a $65 \%$ pass rate and the non-SLA section had a $75 \%$ pass rate. The withdrawals were the same (4\%) in both SLA and non-SLA while the SLA section had a $21 \%$ failure rate compared to the non-SLA section rate of $8 \%$. BIOL 121 was not offered with SLA in Spring 09 ,

Note the SLA sections had lower ACT scores and lower HSGPA's.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# Enrolled in Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F \% | Diff. +/- \% <br> from Total <br> Graded <br> Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng <br> ACT <br> Avg. | Math ACT Avg | Rdg ACT Avg. | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010S | BIOL 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 12 | 10 | 10 | 100\% | 83\% | 2 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 3.50 | 24.1 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 25.4 | 24.6 |
| Control |  |  | 221 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 100\% | 93\% | 1 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | 3.40 | 25.1 | 25.5 | 25.9 | 26.4 | 25.5 |
| 211212213214232233 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-SLA | 234241242243 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dept. Avg. |  |  |  | 230 | 213 | 188 | 88\% | 82\% | 17 | 7\% | 4 | 2\% | 12\% | 3.61 | 24.7 | 25.4 | 25.8 | 25.6 | 25.1 |
| Non-SLA D | pt. Avg. | ontrol |  | 216 | 200 | 175 | 88\% | 81\% | 16 | 7\% | 4 | 2\% |  | 3.60 | 24.7 | 25.4 | 25.8 | 25.6 | 25.1 |

The SLA section and control section had $100 \%$ pass rate while the non-SLA sections had $88 \%$ pass rate. There were no failures in the SLA and control section while the non-SLA sections had $2 \%$ failures. The SLA section and control group had $17 \%$ and $7 \%$ withdrawals respectively while the non-SLA sections had $7 \%$ withdrawals and $2 \%$ failures. BIOI 122 was not offered with SLA in Spring 09.

## SLA Course Data by Semester



Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

This course has no comparison sections. The pass rate was $87 \%$ with $3 \%$ withdrawals and $3 \%$ failures. In Spring 09 , the pass rate was $71 \%$, and there were $7 \%$ withdrawals and $5 \%$ failures.

The same professor has taught this course but the SLA facilitator changed in fall 09 and spring 10. This could account for the higher pass rate.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# | Total \# <br> Enrolled <br> Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F\% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eng } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Math Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rdg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT Comp Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | CHEM 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA | 211212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 46 | 42 | 33 | 79\% | 72\% | 4 | 9\% | 2 | 4\% |  | 3.01 | 19.2 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 21.5 | 20.3 |
| Control | 21322 | 222223224225 | 142 | 125 | 89 | 71\% | 63\% | 16 | 11\% | 16 | 11\% | 7\% | 3.20 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 20.5 |

Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

The SLA sections had a $79 \%$ pass rate with $9 \%$ withdrawals and $4 \%$ failures. The control sections had a $71 \%$ pass rate with $11 \%$ withdrawals and $11 \%$ failures. In spring 09 the SLA had an $83 \%$ pass rate and the control sections had a $68 \%$ pass rate. SLA continues to be effective in student's passing CHEM 114.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

|  |  |  | (Spring 2010) - By Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semester | Course | Sec. \# | Total \# Enrolled in Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students <br> who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F \% | Diff. +/- \% <br> from Total <br> Graded <br> Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng <br> ACT <br> Avg. | Math ACT Avg. | Rdg ACT Avg. | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT <br> Comp <br> Avg. |
| 2010 S | CHEM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  | 231 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 65 | 59 | 55 | 93\% | 85\% | 6 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 3.56 | 23.7 | 25.6 | 25.4 | 25.0 | 24.7 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21121 | 13214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-SLA Dept. Avg. |  | 41242 | 180 | 162 | 140 | 86\% | 78\% | 18 | 10\% | 11 | 6\% | 7\% | 3.59 | 24.9 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 26.3 | 25.6 |
| Non-SLA D | pt. Avg. | ontrol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The SLA section had a pass rate of $93 \%$ which was $7 \%$ higher than the Non-SLA sections. No students in the SLA sections failed but $9 \%$ withdrew. Pass rates were similar in Spring 09 but there were $18 \%$ withdrawals and $2 \%$ failures in Spring 09 compared to $10 \%$ and $6 \%$ respectively in Spring 10.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# | Total \# <br> Enrolled <br> Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students <br> who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F\% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eng } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Math Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rdg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sci } \\ & \text { Rsg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | DHYG 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  | 11212 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 100\% | 97\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 3.30 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 20.6 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

This course had no comparison sections. The pass rate was $100 \%$ with $3 \%$ withdrawals and 0 failures. The pass rate in spring 09 was $100 \%$ with 0 withdrawals and 0 failures.

## SLA Course Data by Semester



Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

This course has no comparison sections. The pass rate was $80 \%$ with $9 \%$ withdrawals and $9 \%$ failures.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# Enrolled Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F\% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS } \\ & \text { GPA } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eng } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Math ACT Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rdg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | EEET 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 211 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 81\% | 81\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% |  | 2.96 | 20.7 | 23.6 | 20.4 | 22.6 | 21.7 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

This course has no comparison sections. The pass rate was $81 \%$ with $0 \%$ withdrawals and $6 \%$ failures.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# Enrolled Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F\% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng <br> Avg. | Math ACT Avg. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rdg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sci } \\ & \text { Rsg } \\ & \text { ACT } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | MATH 010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 001002 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 85\% | 74\% | 3 | 10\% | 2 | 6\% |  | 2.42 | 15.3 | 14.4 | 17.9 | 18.6 | 16.4 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

This course has no comparison sections. The pass rate was $85 \%$ with $10 \%$ withdrawals and $6 \%$ failures. The pass rate in Spring 09 was $63 \%$ with $18 \%$ withdrawals and 0 failures. HSGPA's and ACT scores were similar in both semesters.

There was a change in instructors in spring 10 and this could account for the higher pass rate.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# | Total \# Enrolled in Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students <br> who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F \% | Diff. +/- \% <br> from Total <br> Graded <br> Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng ACT Avg. | Math ACT Avg. | Rdg ACT Avg. | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT <br> Comp <br> Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010S | MATH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  | 03004 | 154 | 129 | 97 | 75\% | 63\% | 24 | 16\% | 13 | 8\% |  | 2.69 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 17.4 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-SLA Dept. Avg. |  | 07010 | 158 | 134 | 81 | 60\% | 51\% | 24 | 18\% | 42 | 31\% | 15\% | 2.73 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 17.9 |
| Non-SLA D | t. Avg. | ntrol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The pass rate for SLA was $75 \%$ which was $15 \%$ higher than the non-SLA sections. SLA continues to be very effective in helping students pass Math 110 . Course withdrawal rates were about the same, but SLA sections reported an $8 \%$ failure rate compared to a $31 \%$ failure rate in the non-SLA sections. The SLA pass rate was slightly higher in Spring 09(80\%) and the non-SLA pass rate was $15 \%$ (45\%) lower in Spring 09.

Note: Statistics were hand calculated in the non-SLA sections due to the fact that a Math 110 professor did not have grades in on time. Due to this technicality IRT was unable to calculate the grades in the non-SLA sections and student grades had to be looked up individually and recalculated.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

(Spring 2010) - By Department


The SLA sections had a pass rate of $79 \%$ which was $3 \%$ lower than the control group but $11 \%$ higher than the non-SLA sections. The SLA sections had $8 \%$ withdrawals and $4 \%$ failures while the non-SLA sections had $12 \%$ withdrawals and $13 \%$ failures. The SLA pass rate and non-SLA pass rate in Spring 09 were $6 \%$ higher than in Spring 10.

## SLA Course Data by Semester



The pass rate in the SLA section was $79 \%$ while the control group had an $81 \%$ pass rate. The non-SLA section pass rate was $20 \%$ lower than the SLA section. The SLA section had $0 \%$ withdrawals and $3 \%$ failures. The control group had $6 \%$ withdrawals and $8 \%$ failures while the non SLA section had $10 \%$ withdrawals and $33 \%$ failures.

## SLA Course Data by Semester



The SLA section had a pass rate of $79 \%$ with $20 \%$ withdrawals and $7 \%$ failures. The non-SLA sections had a pass rate of $83 \%$ with $11 \%$ withdrawals and $3 \%$ failures. MATH 216 did not have an SLA in Spring 09.

The pass rate for the SLA section in fall 09 was $74 \%$ which was $6 \%$ higher than the non SLA section.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# Enrolled in Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F \% | Diff. +/- \% <br> from Total <br> Graded <br> Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng ACT Avg. | Math ACT Avg | Rdg ACT Avg. | Sci <br> Rsg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | ACT Comp Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | MRIS 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 001 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 91\% | 80\% | 3 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 3.02 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 20.8 | 19.3 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-SLA Dept. Avg. |  |  |  | 35 | 28 | 25 | 89\% | 71\% | 7 | 20\% | 3 | 9\% | 2\% | 2.97 | 19.7 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 20.2 |
| Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The SLA section had a pass rate of $91 \%$ with $12 \%$ withdrawals and $0 \%$ failures. The non-SLA section had a pass rate of $89 \%$ with $20 \%$ withdrawals and $9 \%$ failures. The pass rate in Spring 09 was $100 \%$ with $0 \%$ withdrawals and failures.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# Enrolled Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'l' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F\% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | HS <br> GPA <br> Avg. | Eng <br> ACT <br> Avg. | Math ACT Avg. | Rdg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | Sci <br> Rsg ACT Avg. | ACT <br> Comp <br> Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | NURS 351 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 001 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 100\% | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 3.37 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 22.0 | 21.9 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

This course has no comparison sections. The pass rate for SLA is $100 \%$ with $0 \%$ withdrawals and failures. NURS 351 was not offered with SLA in Spring 09.

## SLA Course Data by Semester

| Semester | Course | Sec. \# |  | Total \# <br> Enrolled <br> Course | Total \# Graded (no 'W' or 'I' grades) | \# Students who passed w/ C- and better | Total \# Graded Pass \% C- and higher grades | Total \# Enrolled Pass \% Cand higher grades | \# W's | W \% | \# F's | F \% | Diff. +/- \% from Total Graded Pass \% col | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS } \\ & \text { GPA } \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | Eng ACT Avg. | Math <br> ACT <br> Avg. | Rdg <br> ACT <br> Avg. | Sci <br> Rsg ACT Avg. | ACT <br> Comp <br> Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 S | RADI 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLA |  |  | 001 | 52 | 51 | 51 | 100\% | 98\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 3.43 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 20.8 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Non-SLA
Dept. Avg.
Non-SLA Dept. Avg. - Control

The pass rate for SLA was $100 \%$ with $2 \%$ withdrawals and $0 \%$ failures. The pass rate for SLA in spring 09 was $96 \%$ with $2 \%$ withdrawals and $0 \%$ failures. This course has no comparison sections.

